American Opinion Summary Department of State Do not remove.

No. 152

February 12, 1963

CUESA

The Administration's Subs. "Third for a first rack draws continuing commendation from a number of occavers.

The presentation was "excremely impressive" whoth as to Secretary McMamara's display and the President's "forceful" follow-up and should convince any "reasonable" critic that the Administration "knows what it is talking about when it says there has been no Soviet build-up" in Subal several assert toogs, M. Y. Times, St. Louis Post-Dispatch. Scaver Post. Materioun Times).

As of now, the "burden of arroving on offensive threat to the U.S. from Cuta rests with the origing, some declara leag.; C.S. Honitor, Hilwaukee Journal). To the St. Louis Post-Dispatch, "it is remarkable and to some degree shoneful that the President should be compelled to go to such lengths" to prove his case. "Walter Lippmann is optimistic that the arrangements between Sen. Reading and the GIA for consultation and exchange of information "should put an end to the unscenity conserves."

The Louisville Courier predicts that the critics "impact on public belief will decline." But, better than the "muzzle" proposed by Under Secretary Ball. says the Baltimore Sun. is the prospect that "those who ride (the Cuba Issue) too fast or too fancily, as some are doing now, will will up at the polls with a dead horse,"

I number of commentators, however, tempor praise for the Administration's "Frankness" with form emissions. Both the public and the Congress "chould be reasoned," declares the Boston Herald. But if the White Bouce "say took more straightforward in its reporting on the Cuban Brundton from the beginning it night not have had to rever so much nor" (similarly, Los Angeles Times, Louisville Codrier Jodfant, Providence Journal).

And while the briefing served to "altay unfounded fears" about offensive missiles in Cuba, a number were not left "fully satisfied" about Soviet strength on the island, and Administration plans to deal with it fels. Dec. Moines Register, John S. Enight, Time, Howsweek, U.S. News & World Report). "Uncassored and unrescribed," Boseen Drammoni maintains, is the question: Wilk the U.S. racks unemaker judicad in the end accept Cuba as a Sovier streamy case?

Public Opinion Studies Staff • Bureau of Public Affairs
OFFICIAL USE ONLY

OFFICIAL USE ONLY

. 2 .

Contd.)

The "next step" in Cuba policy excites much interest. The Philadelphia Inquirer "vigorously supports" Administration moves to determine "if and them" Premier Knrushchev Interda to withdraw Soviet forces from Cuba lalso, Seripps Howard, David Laurence). Some propose blockading the Island (Sen. Coper, R.-Ky., Rep. Rogers, R.-Pla.). There is general agreement that the new U.S. shipping restrictions on trade with Cold "will do little" (N.Y. Times, Wash, News and Star, Chicago News, New Republic). Sen. Coldwater (R.-Ariz.) would do "onything that needs to be done to get rid of that concer"; if it means war, "let it mean war."

The Louisville Courier Journal sees the Administration "elearly" preferring "to contain the Cuban threat and to diminish it by a series of measures" which is "surely the course of wisdom" (similarly, New Republic). With Walter Lippman, the St. Louis Post-Dispatch contends that "neither Castroism nor Communism can be ended by a show of American rocce."